(to) No, Sir.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) No such proposal is under consideration of the Government.

World Bank assistance for irrigation pro. jects in Tamil Nadu

3004. SHRl PASUMPON THA KIRUTTINAN; Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the irrigation project proposals sent by the Tamil Nadu Government seeking World Bank assistance;

(b) the estimated cost of the projects; and

(c) the tune by which the projects are likely to be completed?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M.-JACOB): (a) and (b)The following irrigation project proposals were sent by the Government of Tamil Nadu for seeking World Bank assistance;

SI. No.	Name of the Project	Estimated cost of the Project
1.	Ground Water Development in Tamil Nadu	Rs. 219.78 crores
2*.	Standardisation/Modernisation of Irrigation Tanks in Tarr.il Nadu .	Rs. 310 crores.

(c) The period of implementation would be known only after these projects are taken up for financing by the World Bank.

Application of value addition criterion by units operating in the NOIDA Export Processing Zone

3005. SHRI RASHEED MASOOD: Will tlie Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to state;

(a) what is the specific level of value addition criterion in the NOIDA Export Processing Zone; ,

(b) whether all the companies which are operating in the Export Processing Zone are strictly following this value addition criterion; and

(c) if not, how do Government propose to enforce the value addition criterion?

THE MINISTEROF STATE IN . MINISTRY OF COMMERCE (SHRI P. R. DAS MUNSHI): (a) to (c) The level of value addition in all the Export Processing Zones • varies from product to product, From a minimum of 10 per cent in the case of gold jewellery upto 60 per cent in the case of software *etc.* The performance of the Zone unit s including the value addition, is reviewed from time to time and corrective measures are taken, wherever found necessary.

Steps taken to get the Calcutta high Court stay order vacated in respect of Mls. ITC Limited, Calcutta

3006. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Calcutta High Court vide order dated 20th

March, 1987 granted stay in the matter pertaining to M/s. ITC Limited Vs. Inspecting Assistant Commissioner, Range-Ill, Calcutta; and

O) if so, what steps have been taken by Government to get the stay vacated and whether any bank guarantee to secure Government revenue has been demanded

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI AJIT PANJA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The interim order was subsequently modified and vide order dated 11-4-1988, Calcutta High Court has set aside the assessment for assessment year 1984-85 and directed the assessing officer to complete the assessment after giving the assessee company, a reasonable opportunity of being heard The reassessment has been taken up.

Steps to recover the outstanding amount from M|s. ITC Ltd., Calcutta

3007. SHRI VJRENDRA VERMA:
SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1085 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 4th May, 1989 and state:

(a) what the amount involved in each of the notices of demand/show cause notices already issued;

(b) whit steps have been taken/proposed to be taken by Government to recover the outstanding amounts from M/s ITC Ltd.; and

(c) in case the recovery is not being effected, whether Government considered; the question of taking bank guarantee against the outstanding demands from. M/s. ITC Ltd. and if so, what steps have been taken by Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRl AJIT PANJA); (a) The amounts involved in each of the show cause notice's referred to in the reply to Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 1085 dated 4.5.89, are as under:—

(Rs. in orores)

Sr. No.	Date of Show Cause Notice	Amount involved
1.	25-9-87	143.22
2.	7-10-87	23.20
3.	16-12-88	7-38
4.	21-10-87	41.51
5.	27-1-88	26.43
	TOTAL :	241.74

(b) and (c) All the above show cause notices are pending adjudication before the Director-General of Inspection (Cus-, toms & Central Excise), New Delhi. The question of recovering any amounts out of the jVove show cnuse notices can arise only after these show cause notices are adjudicated and the amounts confirmed. There is no provision in Central ■ Excise Law for taking bank guarantees for amounts alleged in show cause notices pending adjudication.